



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,439	04/16/2004	Marco Cavaleri	892,280-155	5467
34263	12/27/2005		INER	
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP			PESELEV, ELLI	
610 NEWPOR 17TH FLOOR	T CENTER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEWPORT B	EACH, CA 92660		1623	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10)/828,439	CAVALERI ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		Elli	Peselev	1623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nasions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a). lication. tory period will app II, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a oly and will expire SIX (6) MO e the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 Noven	nber 2005					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
/_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·		,				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 67-81 is/are pending in the ap	oplication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>67-78</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>79-81</u> is/are objected to.							
_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or elec	ction requirement.					
	on Papers		,					
	The specification is objected to by the E	Evaminor						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		d or b\ abjected to	by the Eveniner				
10/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th			• •				
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to b							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	y the Exami	ici. Note the attache	a Office Action of form P	-10-132.			
	•			• •				
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			received in this Nationa	al Stage			
* C	application from the Internationa	•	` ''	Lanca - Sucrat				
3	see the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the	e certified copies no	; received.				
Attachment	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	5) Notice of 6 Other:	Informal Patent Application (P ⁻ —	TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/828,439

Art Unit: 1623

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Serial Numbers of the copending applications have not been set forth on pages 12 and 18 of the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 70 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The terminology "a ratio of multimer to monomer of at least 4.75:1" (claim 70) is not disclosed or suggested by the specification as originally filed.

Claims 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 72 and 73 are substantial duplicates.

Claims 67-71 and 74-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malabarba et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,750,509) for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of August 31, 2005.

Applicant's arguments filed November 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that lactose and sorbitol are listed as conventional excipients, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which

Application/Control Number: 10/828,439

Art Unit: 1623

would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Applicant further contends that Malabarba et al do not disclose the claimed pH.

However, note that the instant claims are directed to a dry composition which have a pH only when reconstituted. Therefore, the claimed compositions are still deemed prima facie obvious over Malabarba et al.

Claims 79-81 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,439 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

... . -42

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200